## MWMC Scoring Criteria for Student Posters 22nd Annual Conference – December 2, 2016

	:
	r:
Judge:	
Total Score: _	
Evaluation:	5 = Outstanding 4 = Excellent 3 = Very Good 2 = Good 1 = Poor 0 = Unacceptable
Overall Effec	etiveness
	the abstract concisely state the objectives and scope of the investigation, summarize what I state the principal results and conclusions? Does it motivate interest? Does the presentation
Is info tested clearly	ion of Presentation (5-0) ormation presented in a logical sequence? Is the purpose of the study or hypothesis being stated? Are the main points, conclusions, and interpretations developed and integrated in a n? Is there a clear take-home message?
Scientific Co	ntent
Does	the study show a high degree of originality and creativity? Is information presented that is terest to other professionals? Is related work recognized where appropriate?
Are th	and Interpretation (5-0) ne design and methods appropriate and adequate for the purpose of the study? Are statistical any) used correctly? Are conclusions based on presented results?
	on to Scientific Discipline (5-0) the study make an important contribution to a branch of science?
Quality of Pr	resentation
Does	the presenter speak clearly, with sufficient volume? Does the presenter display enthusiasm well? Is the presenter able to relay concepts? Does the presenter have a professional
Are g	ds/Graphics (5-0) graphics and layout clear, readable, and comprehensible, with appropriate degree of Are they relevant and effective?
Is the	presenter able to answer questions effectively? Does the presenter display knowledge and g of the study topic? Is the student the person primarily responsible for the work?

Comments: